We report the observation of quantum interference in superfluid $^4$He. The interferometer, an analog of a dc-superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), employs a recently reported phenomenon wherein superfluid $^4$He exhibits Josephson frequency oscillations in an array of submicron apertures. An interference pattern is generated by reorienting the loop of the superfluid “SQUID” with respect to the Earth’s rotation vector, thereby varying the rotation flux in the loop. The experiment is performed at 2 K, a temperature 2000 times higher than previously achieved with superfluid $^3$He. We find that the interference exists not only when the aperture array current-phase relation is a sinusoidal function characteristic of the Josephson effect, but also at lower temperatures where it is linear and oscillations occur by phase slips. The modest requirements for the interferometer (2 K cryogenics and fabrication of apertures at the level of 100 nm) and its potential resolution suggest that, when engineering challenges such as vibration isolation are met, superfluid $^4$He interferometers could become important scientific probes.
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Matter-wave interferometers reveal some of the most fascinating phenomena of the quantum world. Phase shifts due to rotation (the Sagnac effect) for neutrons, free atoms, and superfluid $^3$He (Refs. 4 and 5) reveal the connection of matter waves to a nonrotating inertial frame. In addition, phase shifts in electron waves due to magnetic vector potentials (the Aharonov-Bohm effect) show that physical states can be modified in the absence of classical forces.

The superfluid current $I$ through an aperture array is a function of the fluid’s quantum phase difference $\Delta \phi$ between one side of the array and the other. This phase difference, and the corresponding fluid flow, evolves in time according to the Josephson-Anderson phase evolution equation $d\Delta \phi/dt = -\Delta \mu/h$. Here $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant divided by $2\pi$. The chemical potential difference $\Delta \mu$ across the array includes both the temperature drop, $\Delta T$, and pressure drop, $\Delta P$, across the array: $\Delta \mu = m_4 (\Delta P/\rho - s \Delta T)$. Here $m_4$ is the mass of a $^4$He atom, $\rho$ is the fluid mass density, and $s$ its entropy per unit mass. A constant chemical potential difference applied across the array gives rise to flow through it that oscillates at the Josephson frequency $f_\gamma = \Delta \mu/h$. Depending on the proximity to the superfluid transition temperature, 2.17 K, the oscillations are due either to a dc-Josephson type current-phase relation, $I \propto \sin \phi$, or to a linear current-phase relation with $2\pi$ phase slips. In either case, as long as the temperature is within about 10 mK of the transition, the oscillations are synchronous throughout the array.

Our interferometer, which is equivalent to a dc superconducting quantum interference device (dc-SQUID), is shown schematically in Fig. 1(a). We place two arrays within tubes filled with $^4$He that form an interferometer “loop.” Each array contains 4225 apertures, nominally 90 nm in diameter, spaced on a 3 $\mu$m square lattice in a 50-nm-thick silicon nitride membrane. The enclosed “sense area” of the loop is nominally 10 cm$^2$. The arrays are positioned equidistant from a diaphragm displacement transducer that functions as a microphone to detect the oscillating currents. Using a combination of electrostatic forces applied to the diaphragm and power applied to a heater just below the diaphragm we create and control chemical potential differences. This $\Delta \mu$ is felt equally by both arrays, and current oscillates through each at a constant Josephson frequency (typically near 700 Hz) for periods on the order of 15 s. The microphone motion is a linear superposition of the oscillations in the two arrays.

If there is a well-defined phase difference $\Delta \phi = \Delta \phi_1 - \Delta \phi_2$ between the arrays, then by superposition the signal amplitude of the total current $I_T = I_1 + I_2$ detected at the microphone can be written as

$$I_n = 2I_c \left| \cos \frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \right|. \quad (1)$$

Here the two arrays are assumed to have equal flow oscillation amplitudes $I_c$. This is the typical behavior of an interferometer: a phase difference between two paths modulates the combined signal. The essence of any interferometer experiment is to introduce a $\Delta \phi$ by external means. In this work we do so via a rotation-induced Sagnac effect.

The wave function of the superfluid in the interferometer is single valued. This implies that, integrating around the closed loop of the interferometer, $\oint \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot d\vec{\ell} = 2\pi n\hbar$, where $n$ is an integer. In this integral there is a contribution of $\Delta \phi_1$ from the left aperture array and $-\Delta \phi_2$ from the right one. Phase gradients correspond to fluid flow and, in the tubes connecting the arrays, $\nabla \phi = m_4 v_s/\hbar$, where $v_s$ is the superfluid velocity. If the interferometer is rotating with angular velocity $\hat{\Omega}$, the fluid in the connecting tubes moves with it and gives rise to a contribution to the loop phase integral, $4\pi \hat{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}/\kappa_s$, where $\vec{A}$ is the loop area vector and $\kappa_s = \hbar/m_4$ is the $^4$He quantum of circulation. The integral therefore yields

$$\Delta \phi = \Delta \phi_1 - \Delta \phi_2 = 4\pi \frac{\hat{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}}{\kappa_s} + 2\pi n + \phi_0. \quad (2)$$

Here $\phi_0$ represents any other fixed current bias in the loop. This equation shows how an angular velocity gives rise to a phase shift between the arms of the interferometer. This shift,
FIG. 1. Superfluid $^4$He interferometer schematic and equivalent circuit. (a) A sketch of the $^4$He interferometer. The x’s indicate the position of the two aperture arrays. The unshaded regions are filled with superfluid $^4$He. The upper chamber is closed on the top by a flexible metalized diaphragm which serves both as a microphone to detect the Josephson oscillations and also as a pressure pump to maintain chemical potential differences across the arrays. Pressure is created by application of an electrostatic force between the diaphragm and electrode. The resistor R is a heater which can contribute to the chemical potential difference. Above the electrode is a superconducting pancake coil (not shown) which is part of a SQUID-based sensor (Ref. 11) used to detect the motion of the diaphragm. The interferometer is inside a can (outer shaded border) with the superfluid $^4$He inside pressurized to roughly half an atmosphere. The can is immersed in a conventional dewar filled with helium whose temperature T is feedback regulated (Ref. 12) with a stability of $\sim$50 nK just below its superfluid transition temperature $T_s=2.17$ K. Since the can is pressurized, the value of $T_s$ for the liquid inside it is slightly lower than that of the bath—this makes it easier to stabilize T very close to the $T_s$ of the can. A sketch of the equivalent interferometer topology, emphasizing the analogy with the dc-SQUID, is shown in (b).

combined with Eq. (1), predicts that the microphone signal should be modulated as

$$I_m = 2I_c \left| \cos \left( \frac{\pi \tilde{\Omega}_E \cdot \tilde{A}}{\kappa_d} + \phi_b + \pi n \right) \right|.$$  

(3)

When we apply chemical potential differences across the interferometer loop, the microphone output easily resolves the resulting mass current oscillating at the Josephson frequency. We choose a frequency near 700 Hz because it lies in a spectral window that is away from acoustic nuisance signals picked up by the displacement sensor. The amplitude of this acoustic signal is our main experimental probe of the system.

Our interferometer rotates with the Earth. The area vector $\tilde{A}$, which is normal to the plane of the interferometer, lies in the horizontal plane of our laboratory. Our experiment consists of reorienting the cryostat and the interferometer within it about a vertical axis in the laboratory, thus changing the scalar product between $\tilde{A}$ and the Earth’s rotation vector $\tilde{\Omega}_E$. We excite Josephson oscillations by establishing chemical potential differences across the array by applying bias voltages to the diaphragm and electric power to a heater within the upper cell. The measurement time is restricted by the time it takes for the diaphragm to be stretched to some limiting value. This time varies inversely with the critical velocity at which the fluid flows (in the phase slip regime). For the data reported here it ranges from about 15 s at $T_s-T=0.3$ mK to 4 s at $T_s-T=12$ mK. Since the critical velocity increases at lower temperatures, we cannot record meaningful data in the present apparatus below about $T_s-T=20$ mK. For each orientation we record the amplitude of the Josephson frequency oscillations measured by the microphone. The measurement is repeated 10–20 times and the average computed. Figure 2 shows a plot of this amplitude as a function of $2\tilde{\Omega}_E \cdot \tilde{A}/\kappa_d$.

This is the central result of this experiment. The family of curves dramatically displays the periodic modulation predicted by Eq. (3), the pattern characteristic of a double path interferometer.

Each curve in Fig. 2 represents one fixed temperature. The absolute current calibration (a multiplicative factor) was obtained using a procedure developed for single array measurements which works equally well for a double array configuration. The curves have been shifted horizontally so that the minima align. This compensates for temperature dependent bias currents that are not yet completely under our control, zeroing $\phi_b$ in Eqs. (2) and (3). Within the resolution of the measurement, $\phi_b$ appears to be stable for the duration of the measurement of each curve (around 2 h), however, in
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several weeks of experiments we observed several events in which $\phi$, abruptly changed value, apparently randomly. This could be related to the motion of metastable vortices.

The fact that the modulation curves do not drop all the way to zero at the minima is due to unequal oscillation amplitudes of the two arrays, yielding incomplete destructive interference. A more general expression than Eq. (1) for the microphone modulation when the oscillation amplitudes $I_{r1}$ and $I_{r2}$ for the two arrays are unequal is

$$I_m = 2I_{r3} \left[ \cos^2 \left( \frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \right) + \gamma^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \right) \right]^{1/2},$$

where $I_{r3} = (I_{r1} + I_{r2})/2$ and $\gamma = (I_{r1} - I_{r2})/(I_{r1} + I_{r2})$. The asymmetry parameter $\gamma$ varies from zero, where $I_{r1} = I_{r2}$ permits 100% modulation, to unity, when one array is completely blocked and no modulation exists.

For our proof-of-principle demonstration the interferometer area was chosen to be sufficiently large so that the Earth’s rotation would create a Sagnac shift. A more general expression than Eq. (4) for the interferometer loop, and the enclosed area. Based on Eq. (4) and our measured microphone noise, if the aperture array contains a factor of 10 more apertures, if the loop diameter is increased by a factor of 4, and if the loop geometry is reconfigured as a ten turn helix, the extrapolated resolution of this interferometer surpasses the reported resolution of the best optical and cold-atom Sagnac gyroscopes. Such a design, if anchored to a sufficiently rigid platform, would provide a useful complement to current Earth monitoring systems based on very long baseline interferometry (VLBI).

An interferometer with multiple turns can also be used to settle a debate on the predicted existence of an Aharonov-Bohm (AB) interference in neutral matter. An intriguing aspect of the latter experiment is that previous analyses of AB effects assume that the particles that interfere actually traverse the spatial region where the electromagnetic potentials exist. In the superfluid interferometer the atoms themselves do not move throughout the entire geometry within the time scale of a Josephson period. A positive detection of the AB effect in neutral superfluid matter would imply that the interference comes from the existence of the macroscopic entangled state rather than from the evolution of individual propagating matter wave packets.

The interferometer discussed here functioned as described on its first cooldown, using a pair of aperture arrays that had been stored for over 5 years on a laboratory shelf. This suggests that the relevant phenomenon is robust and that the technology can be substantially improved in future devices. Since the operating temperature of the interferometer is near

For $\omega = 2\pi \times 700$ Hz, the 12 mK curve in Fig. 2 yields $\delta \phi_{\text{min}} \approx 3 \times 10^{-2}$ rad in a 1 Hz bandwidth. With the 10 cm² loop area of this proof-of-principle device, this translates into a minimum observable angular velocity change $\delta \Omega_{\text{min}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}$ rad/s in a 1 Hz bandwidth. Although analyses of the thermal noise limits of related devices have been performed, the corresponding limit for our device is not yet known. We are currently limited by the random rocking motion of our air spring cryostat support system, which creates nuisance rotation signals approximately one order of magnitude greater than the electronic background. This corresponds to a tilt displacement at one end of the 1.5 m long cryostat of less than 1 μm. This is the origin of the small scatter in Fig. 2. Utilization of the superfluid interferometer as a geodetic gyroscope requires a more rigid structure placed in a quiet environment. Alternatively, as a device for inertial navigation in a noisy environment, one will need to employ feedback to lock the device at a particular bias point while having a slew rate high enough to track nuisance signals. In contrast, as a detector for nonrotation induced phase shifts, the loop can be configured with two turns of opposite helicity to enclose zero rotation flux while performing a differential measurement on the phenomenon of interest.

Figured as a gyroscope the angular velocity sensitivity of the interferometer improves with several variables including the number of apertures, the number of “turns” in the interferometer loop, and the enclosed area. Based on Eq. (3) and our measured microphone noise, if the aperture array contains a factor of 10 more apertures, if the loop diameter is increased by a factor of 4, and if the loop geometry is reconfigured as a ten turn helix, the extrapolated resolution of this interferometer surpasses the reported resolution of the best optical and cold-atom Sagnac gyroscopes. Such a design, if anchored to a sufficiently rigid platform, would provide a useful complement to current Earth monitoring systems based on very long baseline interferometry (VLBI).

An interferometer with multiple turns can also be used to settle a debate on the predicted existence of an Aharonov-Bohm (AB) interference in neutral matter. An intriguing aspect of the latter experiment is that previous analyses of AB effects assume that the particles that interfere actually traverse the spatial region where the electromagnetic potentials exist. In the superfluid interferometer the atoms themselves do not move throughout the entire geometry within the time scale of a Josephson period. A positive detection of the AB effect in neutral superfluid matter would imply that the interference comes from the existence of the macroscopic entangled state rather than from the evolution of individual propagating matter wave packets.

The interferometer discussed here functioned as described on its first cooldown, using a pair of aperture arrays that had been stored for over 5 years on a laboratory shelf. This suggests that the relevant phenomenon is robust and that the technology can be substantially improved in future devices. Since the operating temperature of the interferometer is near
2 K, a regime that can be attained with mechanical cryocoolers, this type of interferometer can be accessible to investigators without substantial cryogenic experience. We believe that experiments using the superfluid $^4$He interferometer will provide a new window to observe nature and further understand the subtleties of the quantum world.
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